1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	* * *
7	ROGER STEWART, #79447, Plaintiff, 2:10-CV-01894-PMP-GWF
9	vs. ORDER
- 0	HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,
L1	Defendants.
L2	
L3	Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #7) filed
L4	February 3, 2011. Although the Court has extended Plaintiff's deadline to respond
L5	to Defendants' motion, Plaintiff has failed to do so and therefore consents to the
L 6	granting of Defendants' motion. Moreover, a review of Defendants' Motion to
L7	Dismiss shows that Defendants are entitled to the relief requested because Plaintiff
L8	has failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies.
9	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to
20	Dismiss (Doc. #7) is GRANTED and that judgment is hereby entered in favor of
21	Defendants and against Plaintiff.
22	DATED: March 23, 2011.
23	
24	
25	Ship. M. On
26	PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge